

Development Services Department

Building | GIS | Planning & Zoning

ΡI	anning Commission Minutes	8 July 2021
<u>Ite</u>	e <u>m</u>	Page
Re	egular Agenda Items	
1.	Public Hearing (5:35 PM) – Cache View Estates Rezone	2
2.	Fritz Tower Conditional Use Permit	2
3.	Bryan Hansen Conditional Use Permit	3
4.	Cherry Peak Ski Area Conditional Use Permit 3 rd Amendment	4
5.	Discussion: Amendments to Title 17 regarding a new use type for alcohol production	on4

Present: Angie Zetterquist, Chris Harrild, Brandon Spackman, Lane Parker, Jason Watterson, Brady Christensen, Chris Sands, Nolan Gunnell, John Luthy, Megan Izatt

Start Time: 05:32:00

Spackman called the meeting to order and gave the opening remarks.

05:34:00

Agenda

Approved with no objection.

05:35:00

Minutes

Watterson motioned to approve the June 3, 2021 minutes; Parker seconded; Passed 5, 0.

05:35:00

Regular action Items

#1 Public Hearing (5:35 PM): Cache View Estates Rezone

Zetterquist reviewed the staff report for the Cache View Estates Rezone.

Commissioners and **Staff** discussed roads and the need for an updated survey.

05:45:00

Christensen motioned to open the public hearing for the Cache View Estates Rezone; Sands seconded; Passed 5, 0.

05:46:00

Parker motioned to close the public hearing for the Cache View Estates Rezone; **Sands** seconded; **Passed 5, 0.**

Commissioners and **Staff** discussed roads and access.

Parker motioned to recommend denial to the County Council for the Cache View Estates Rezone based on staff's conclusion and recommendation; **Christensen** seconded; **Passed 5, 0.**

05:48:00

#2 Fritz Tower Conditional Use Permit

Zetterquist reviewed the information that staff is waiting for to complete the conditional use permit (CUP).

Steven Fritz commented that the FCC requirements are already posted on the property and Larry has the FCC requirements. He would like to see the item move forward tonight.

Staff reviewed the information that needs to be included in the packet for the CUP.

Parker motioned to continue the Fritz Tower Conditional Use Permit up to 90 days; **Watterson** seconded; **Passed 5, 0.**

05:51:00

#3 Bryan Hansen Conditional Use Permit

Zetterquist reviewed the information that staff is waiting for to complete the conditional use permit (CUP).

Troy Allen asked about how the kennel affects the UDOT access.

Zetterquist commercial access is not allowed on the class of road and a new commercial development triggers meeting the new road and access requirements.

Watterson asked how the business changes the road.

Harrild commented on the possible road requirements.

Mr. Allen commented on being required to rezone the property for the wanted use and how that affects the road and how the business does not substantially affect the traffic on the road.

Parker commented on the road changes.

Mr. Allen asked if a commercial business could use the side roads.

Zetterquist commented that commercial businesses cannot have access on local minor roads.

Mr. Allen asked about designating the couple hundred feet of 12600 North as a local major.

Harrild stated Matt Phillips, the county road engineer, is who to talk with about the road.

Christensen asked about the current uses of the property.

Zetterquist stated there should currently be no uses on the property because there is no current conditional use permit.

Mr. Allen stated the only thing happening currently on the property is storing equipment. The scale is not being used commercially.

Zetterquist reviewed the letter of intent.

Mr. Allen commented the letter isn't accurate.

Sands reviewed the couple items of clarification that he is aware of that staff needs.

Luthy commented that the planning commission does not make the laws; that is done at the County Council level. The Council tries to make the laws apply broadly and to fit most instances. The Commission is the one to apply the laws and does it to the best of their abilities.

Watterson motioned to continue the Bryan Hansen Rezone up to 90 days; Sands seconded; Passed 5, 0.

06:15:00

#4 Cherry Peak Ski Area Conditional Use Permit

Zetterquist reviewed the staff report for the Cherry Peak Ski Area CUP.

Commissioners and **Staff** discussed the cap on visitors, how removing the two parcels affects the CUP, and questions on parking.

John Chadwick commented on parking

Commissioners and **Staff** discussed concerns for parking.

Mr. Chadwick commented on the parking study requirement.

Sands asked about the trails shown on the property.

Mr. Chadwick stated those are Mark Hall's trails; Cherry Peak does not use them.

Sands asked about access to a couple of parcels.

Mr. Chadwick stated there is an access agreement in place for those parcels.

Sands asked about future parking.

Mr. Chadwick stated there are areas slated for future parking areas but Cherry Peak is not ready to develop those parking areas. Also, the 100-year flood plan has already been completed.

Sands motioned to continue the Cherry Peak Ski Area Conditional Use Permit 3rd Amendment up to 90 days; Watterson seconded; Passed 5, 0.

07:04:00

#5 Discussion: Amendments to Title 17 regarding a new use type for alcohol production (i.e., winery)

Harrild reviewed the timeline and the questions previously asked.

Keith Meikle commented that the 15,000 cases are enforceable.

Sands asked about the limited production amount.

Mr. Meikle commented that his goal is to build a facility that at peak output would be 5,000 cases.

Sands commented that he would like to see the County accommodate the most logical facility.

Mr. Meikle commented on the small scale facility requirement.

Christensen commented on the production requirements.

Mr. Meikle commented on production and collaboration for production.

Gunnell commented on the Council approving this with the understanding that it would help preserve agriculture; this would not be a winery that grew none of its own product.

Mr. Meikle commented on the concerns regarding the winery preserving agriculture and being able to stay in business with a crop failure.

Commissioners and **Staff** discussed the requirement of 50% of growing coming from the winery.

Mr. Meikle commented preserving agriculture and the federal government enforcement in regards to labeling and the percentage of product coming from local regions.

Christensen asked how many acres are need to produce 1000 cases.

Mr. Meikle commented that there are about 30 lbs of grapes in a single case of wine.

Christensen asked if Mr. Meikle's current acreage produces that amount.

Mr. Meikle commented they have one white grape variety that does but their red varieties do not.

Christensen asked about the life span of a grape plant.

Mr. Meikle commented there are some plants in Napa, California that are over 100 years old but growers replant as needed and as different varieties of wine become popular.

Christensen asked about agritourism.

Mr. Meikle explained his understanding of agritourism and what he is trying to do in the agricultural zone of the county.

Gunnell commented on the Council's understanding of what was going to happen for agriculture under these amendments.

Mr. Meikle commented on the current conditions of being able to farm in Cache Valley.

Harrild recommended that the Commission review the language of the proposed code and suggested arranging a site visit for Slide Ridge Honey in Mendon.

Commissioners and **Staff** discussed how this affects manufacturing and agriculture and tying alcohol production to agriculture.

08:03:00

Adjourned.